Upper and lower limb enthesisopathy is a pathology associated with aseptic inflammation in tendons, fascia, and ligaments at the points of their attachment to bones [2]. The clinical symptoms include pain and sometimes local edemas at the lesion, which expands significantly in case of tension to the affected musculo-skeletal systems caused by routine or sports load, while all this affects the life quality in the patients.

The following are mentioned as the underlying factors of enthesisopathy: physical overload, orthopedic deviations, traumas, and inflammatory diseases. In the recent years the respective scientific circles also have pointed at articular hypermobility syndrome (AHS) as one of the manifestations of connective tissue dysplasia, which is said to have a role in the development of limb enthesisopathy [4, 6].

The most efficient in terms of stopping the pain syndrome caused by enthesisopathy are considered to be nerve block therapies employing corticosteroids [5, 7]. Given that, many patients, within different periods of time after the treatment is over, develop a relapse. Steroids also stand behind some side effects in patients (exacerbated stomach ulcer, osteoporosis progress, local pyoinflammatory complications, etc.).

The latest decade has witnessed increasing interest in extracorporal shock-wave therapy (ESWT) for treating enthesisopathies especially in case the patient has burdened somatic status, contraindication for steroid nerve block therapies, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). Yet, despite the wide use of ESWT as of today there is no evidence concerning the clinical efficiency of this method if employed for treating limb enthesisopathies combined with articular hypermobility syndrome; besides there is no evidence as to its efficiency along with other treatments and drugs.

Purpose: to study the efficiency of extracorporal shock-wave therapy and the affected area orthopedic relief for treating pain syndrome associated with enthesisopathies in patients with articular hypermobility syndrome.

Material and Methods. 75 patients with enthesisopathies of various localizations and diagnosed articular hypermobility were observed (2008–2011) at the Consulting-Diagnostic Department of the Stavropol Regional Clinical Consulting-Diagnostic Centre (Table).

The patients’ age varied between 35 and 55 years (median age being 37.5±0.4 yrs). The duration of the pain syndrome from the onset (irrespective of the localization) was: 1–3 months – in 20 (26.7 %) patients; 3–6 months – in 36 (48 %) patients, and over 6 months – 19 (25.3 %) patients.

All the patients went through the standard X-ray examination – in two projections for the elbow joint, and side view for the foot. Most cases revealed radiological manifestations of enthesisopathies: osteophyte was found in 42 patients (56 %); local osteosclerosis – in 64 (85.3 %) patients, which was evidence of a longer history of the pathology.

Articular hypermobility was detected based on the Brighton diagnostic criteria [10] as modified by A. G. Belenky [1], where articular hypermobility was mapped following the Beighton scale [9] offering a 9-point measurement for the patient’s ability to perform five movements – four for the limbs and one – for the body and the hip joint. The movement amplitude in joint limbs was evaluated following the expanded standard scheme used for most examinations in the area of traumatology & orthopedics.
To investigate the clinical efficiency of extracorporal shock-wave therapy for articular hypermobility syndrome there was a clinical study conducted where 75 patients with enthesopathies were stratified into three groups; the differences among the three being not significant enough to have an impact on the treatment outcome. Each of the groups included 25 patients. In Group 1 the patients were treated with extracorporal shock-wave therapy alone; in Group 2 extracorporal shock-wave therapy was combined with elbow or ankle-joint orthoses and a protective regimen for the period of treatment. The remaining twenty five patients underwent therapy with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs both per os, and locally for 10 days, combined with the orthoses on the impaired limb segment.

The treatment was out-patient, with a shock-wave device PIEZOSON-100 (by RICHARD WOLF). The procedure implied no ultrasound navigation, while the therapeutic head was positioned straight at the affected areas. The therapeutic heads used had their active focus diameter at 5 mm – 25 mm. The number of the impulses per one session was 3,000; with a frequency of 4 Hz. Depending on the pain syndrome intensity the energy level was adjusted manually, varying from 1 to 20. The duration of the session was 12–17 min; average number – 5; repetition – 1 per 7 days.

The clinical observation was done straight after the fifth session of extracorporal shock-wave therapy to be repeated further again 3 and 6 months after. The treatment efficiency was assessed with the Numerical Rating Scale (NRS) including 11 points – from 0 (“no pain”) to 10 (“the worst pain you could ever imagine”) [8].

The statistical analysis of the clinical data was performed with the Statistica-7 software and MS Excel 2009 spreadsheets.

**Results**. An analysis of pain in the 25 patients of Group 1 prior to the treatment showed that its intensity (by NRS scale) was 7.6±0.3 points. In Group 2 the pain rated at 7.2±0.2 points. In Group 3 the rate of the pain syndrome by the NRS scale came up to 7.4±0.6 points (Fig. 1).

![Fig. 1. Pain evaluated by patients prior to the treatment](image1)

When treated with extracorporal shock-wave therapy the patients of Group 1 and Group 2 showed reduced intensity of the pain (Fig. 2).

![Fig. 2. Pain relief dynamics through the ESWT course](image2)

Figure 3 shows the dynamics observed in the patients of Group 3 treated with NSAIDs.

![Fig. 3. Dynamics of pain syndrome relief in Group 3 through the treatment with NSAIDs](image3)

None of the 75 patients revealed any clinically meaningful complications (general or local) when going through extracorporal shock-wave therapy through the study. 10 (13.3 %) patients had higher intensity of the pain syndrome after the first session, which went down with no specific measure taken 3–4 days later. Some edema was observed in the treated area in 6 (8 %) patients for 5–7 days.

The final outcomes after six months from the start of the treatment can be seen in Figure 4.

![Fig. 4. Pain syndrome dynamics on Month 6 of treatment](image4)

**Discussion**. An analysis of the data obtained has shown an advantage of ESWT combined with orthopedic relief if compared with NSAIDs when used for treatment of pain syndrome due to limb enthesopathies. The relief dynamics for the pain syndrome on Month 6 was 63.1 % in Group 1, 83.3 % – in Group 2, and 31 % – in Group 3. An obvious advantage of ESWT was the out-patient treatment mode, lack of invasion, lack of local and general complications, and a short course of treatment.

**Conclusion**. The results of treatment for pain syndrome associated with limb enthesopathies serve
Extracorporal shock-wave therapy together with orthopedic relief in the affected limb area allows improving the life quality, within optimal time, for patients suffering from limb enthesopathies with articular hypermobility syndrome.
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Purpose: to study the efficiency of extracorporeal shock-wave therapy and the affected area orthopedic relief for treating pain syndrome associated with enthesopathies in patients with articular hypermobility syndrome.

75 patients with enthesopathies of various localizations and diagnosed articular hypermobility were observed in 2008–2011, their age varying from 35 to 55 years (median age: 37.5±0.4 years). The treatment mode was out-patient, with a shock-wave device PIEZOSON-100 (manufacturer: RICHARD WOLF). The clinical observation was done straight after the 5th session of extracorporeal shock-wave therapy, and then 3 and 6 months after. The treatment efficiency was evaluated using the Numerical Rating Scale (NRS). Besides, the whole course of treatment included protective regimen, as well as elbow or ankle-join orthoses.

The positive outcomes obtained after treating the pain syndrome associated with limb enthesopathies serve evidence to the efficiency of extracorporeal shock-wave therapy, which, in case of articular hypermobility syndrome present as well, should be combined with an orthopedic relief for the affected limb area.
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OПЫТ ПРИМЕНЕНИЯ ЭКСТРАКОРПОРАЛЬНОЙ УДАРНО-ВОЛНОВОЙ ТЕРАПИИ В ЛЕЧЕНИИ ЭНТЕЗОПАТИЙ КОНЪЮНКТИВАХ У ПАЦИЕНТОВ С СИНДРОМОМ ГИПЕРМЕБИЛЬНОСТИ СУСТАВОВ
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Цель работы: изучить эффективность лечения болевого синдрома при энтезопатиях конъюнктивах у пациентов с синдромом гипермобильности суставов методом экстракорпоральной ударно-волновой терапии с ортопедической разгрузкой пораженного сегмента конъюнктивы.

За период 2008–2011 годов наблюдались 75 пациентов с энтезопатиями конъюнктив в сочетании с синдромом гипермобильности суставов. Возраст вариировал от 35 до 55 лет (средний возраст составил 37,5±0,4 лет). Лечение осуществляли амбулаторно на ударно-волновой установке ПБЗОСОН-100, фирмы «RICHD WOLF». Клиническое наблюдение проводили непосредственно с окончанием 5 процедуры экстракорпоральной ударно-волновой терапии, затем через 3, 6 месяцев. Оценивали эффективность лечения по цифровой рейтинговой шкале боли (Numerical Rating Scale, NRS). Дополнительно на весь курс лечения назначали лечебно-охранительный режим, ортезирование локтевого или голеностопного суставов.

Положительные результаты в лечении болевого синдрома при энтезопатиях конъюнктив снимали у 75 пациентов с гипермобильностью суставов. Возраст пациентов варьировал от 35 до 55 лет. Лечение проводили на базе клиники в 3 месяцев. Оценивали эффективность лечения по цифровой рейтинговой шкале боли (Numerical Rating Scale, NRS). Дополнительно на весь курс лечения назначали лечебно-охранительный режим, ортезирование локтевого или голеностопного суставов.
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